

“[The Boltzmann distribution] is the summit of statistical mechanics, and the entire subject is either the slide-down from this summit, as the principle is applied to various cases, or the climb-up to where the fundamental law is derived.” —Richard Feynman

1. **The climb up.** In class we presented a reasonable but less than rigorous derivation of the Boltzmann distribution,

$$P(\nu) \propto e^{-\beta E(\nu)},$$

for microstates ν of a system that can exchange energy with a very large bath. This result was obtained from the fundamental relationship

$$P(\nu) \propto \Omega_B(E_T - E(\nu))$$

through a Taylor expansion of $\ln \Omega_B$, where E_T denotes the total energy shared by system and bath, and $\Omega_B(E_B)$ is the number of bath microstates with energy E_B . Here you will develop this argument a little more carefully (and perhaps a little more convincingly—you may have wondered, for example, why we were Taylor expanding $\ln \Omega_B$ and not Ω_B).

We will assume that Ω_B has a large deviation form,

$$\Omega_B = [\omega_B(\epsilon_B)]^{N_B},$$

where N_B is the number of molecules in the bath, $\epsilon_B \equiv E_B/N_B$ is the corresponding energy per molecule, and $\omega_B(\epsilon_B)$ is a smooth function that does not depend on the size of the bath. As in lecture the dependence of Ω_B on E_B will be used to define a property β of the bath:

$$\beta \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_B}{\partial E_B} \right)_{N_B, V_B}$$

(i) Show that β is insensitive to the extent of the bath. In particular, relate β to ω_B and derivatives of ω_B with respect to ϵ_B . Explain why this relationship indicates independence of the bath's size. (Since we saw in lecture that this β is an inverse temperature, it should be pleasing that it doesn't depend on bath size. When I say to put a test tube in a 25° C water bath, I shouldn't have to specify if the bath is 1 liter or 2 liters in volume. Assuming the volume of the bath is very big compared to the system, I should be able to specify β alone.)

(ii) Show that

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Omega_B}{\partial E_B} \right)_{N_B, V_B} = \beta \Omega_B$$

(iii) Show that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Omega_B}{\partial E_B^2} \right)_{N_B, V_B} = \beta^2 \Omega_B + c.$$

Identify the quantity c and explain why it can be neglected in the limit $N_B \rightarrow \infty$.

(iv) Calculate

$$\left(\frac{\partial^n \Omega_B}{\partial E_B^n} \right)_{N_B, V_B}$$

for arbitrary (integer) n . Do not include any terms that are negligible in the limit $N_B \rightarrow \infty$.

(v) Consider the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_B(E_T - E) &= \Omega_B(E_T) - E \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_B}{\partial E_B} \right)_{N_B, V_B} + \frac{1}{2} E^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Omega_B}{\partial E_B^2} \right)_{N_B, V_B} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (-E)^n \left(\frac{\partial^n \Omega_B}{\partial E_B^n} \right)_{N_B, V_B},\end{aligned}$$

where all partial derivatives are implicitly evaluated at $E = 0$.

Combining your results together with this expansion, show that the relationship

$$\Omega_B(E_T - E(\nu)) \propto e^{-\beta E(\nu)}$$

is exact in the limit $N_B \rightarrow \infty$ of an infinitely large bath.

2. **The slide down.** Remarkably, the decomposition between system and bath (as well as our counting tools with cumulant generating functions) work equally well in a quantum setting as a classical setting. Consider a one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator, which has equally spaced discrete energy levels: $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega, \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega, \frac{5}{2}\hbar\omega, \dots$ (Warning: this ω has nothing to do with what we were calling ω in Problem 1, but the notation is reasonably standard in both cases. I'm sticking with it despite the possibility for confusion.) We assume the harmonic oscillator is in contact with a large thermal bath at temperature T .

(i) We have seen that the cumulant generating function for fluctuations in a variable X takes the form $Z(\beta) = \langle e^{-\beta X} \rangle$, where $Z(\beta)$ can also be viewed as the normalization constant of the probability distribution with $P(X) \propto e^{-\beta X}$. The Boltzmann distribution physically gives us such an exponentially biased probability distribution—we expect to measure the various quantized energies with $P(E) \propto e^{-\beta E}$. Out of convention, let us use q to denote the moment generating function for the energy fluctuations (the canonical partition function). Find q as a function of T . [Hint: a geometric series can be summed exactly.]

(ii) By differentiating q appropriately, determine the average energy $\langle E \rangle$, which should also be a function of T .

(iii) A common experiment is to measure how much energy must be put into a system to increase the temperature, the so-called heat capacity. In other words, the heat capacity measures the rate of energy increase with increasing temperature:

$$C = \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial T}.$$

By writing $\langle E \rangle$ in terms of a derivative of $\ln q$, demonstrate that C is related to a second derivative of $\ln q$. Use this observation to compute both the typical size of the energy fluctuations $\langle \delta E^2 \rangle$ and the heat capacity C . Both of these quantities should be functions of the temperature.

(iv) Now imagine that the quantum harmonic oscillator is actually a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator but that the oscillations in the x , y , and z dimensions are independent. Then the single three-dimensional harmonic oscillator will behave like three one-dimensional harmonic oscillators. What will be the new values of $\langle E \rangle$, $\langle \delta E^2 \rangle$, and C for the three-dimensional oscillator. [Hint: Don't compute more than you have to! Think about how the cumulant generating functions change when you incorporate multiple *independent* components.]

(v) Repeat your logic from (iv) to determine C for N three-dimensional harmonic oscillators. Einstein considered this as a model for vibrations of the positions of N atoms around their equilibrium positions in a crystal. At that time, measurements of heat capacity in macroscopic materials could be handled in the lab even if the single-atom vibrations could not be directly measured. By detecting how C varied with temperature T , Einstein argued that one could infer microscopic information about the nature of the vibrations. Pretty cool!